

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/736,163	12/15/2000	Koichi Yoshimi	1614.1103	8082
21171	7590 12/12/2005		EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			LI, AIMEE J	
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2183	

DATE MAILED: 12/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/736,163	YOSHIMI, KOICHI	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Aimee J. Li	2183	

	Aimee J. Li	2183	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 17 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completely following time periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice o wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{5}$ months from the mailing date of	•		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adviewent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILE	OWT NIHTIW C
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. Itutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compof filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any expressions a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
3. Mail The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered I	oecause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);	
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	· ·		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	` ''		
4. \square The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. \square Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 			_
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(Is to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after ϵ	entry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claims 12, 15, 18, 19, and 20 have been amended to include a limitation similar to, taking claim 12 as exemplary, "wherein the fourth part fixedly performs initialization of the branch prediction information by determining an initialization value according to a comparison of a program counter value with a branch destination address and a determination of whether a branch prediction direction is backward taken (BT) or forward not taken (FN) to set the branch prediction information to predetermined branch prediction information regardless of past branch prediction results, without depending on a particular process" which were not present in these independent claims prior. The previously presented independent claims 21-23, while containing the added language of the limitation, do not contain the last part of the limitation with regard to setting "the branch prediction information to predetermined branch prediction information regardless of past branch prediction results, without depending on a particular process." This combination of the amendments and previous language in the amended claims change the scope of the claims from that considered and searched in prior Office Actions. The amendments also change the scope of all dependent claims for these independent claims. Therefore, the amendments require further consideration and/or searching by th Examiner.

EDDIE CHAN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 100